

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 08/923,461  | 09/04/1997     | VIET LE              | RIC-96-153              | 2639             |
| 25537 7.  | 590 03/28/2002 |                      |                         |                  |
| WORLDCOM, INC.  |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| TECHNOLOGY LAW DEPARTMENT<br>1133 19TH STREET NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC ^20036 |                |                      | SEDIGHIAN, REZA         |                  |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                      | 2633                    |                  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/28/2002 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

į.

|   |   |   | ur                                      |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | <b>O</b>                                |
| Advisory Action   | 08/923,461  | LE ET AL.   | •                                       |
| ,   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |
|   | Mohammad Sedighian  | 2633  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add                                      | ress                                    |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this appli<br>I) a timely filed amendment whi   | cation. A proper rep<br>ch places the applic            | oly to a cation in                      |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |   |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection.<br>E FINAL REJECTION. S          | See MPEP                                |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>I statutory period for reply originally set in                              | fee. The appropriate ext<br>the final Office action; or | ension fee under<br>(2) as set forth in |
| <ul><li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li></ul>  |   |   |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b  | ecause:   |   |   |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth  |   | see NOTE below);  |   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note beginning)   | pelow);   |   |   |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or  | in better form for appeal by mat  | erially reducing or s                                   | simplifying the                         |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel   | ing a corresponding number of   | finally rejected clair                                  | ns.                                     |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |   |   |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec   | tion(s):  |   |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a s  | separate, timely file                                   | d amendment                             |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:   | r reconsideration has been cons   | sidered but does NO                                     | OT place the                            |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which we                                      | re newly                                |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w  |   |   | and an                                  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: 35-40.   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |   |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap   | proved by the Exan                                      | niner.                                  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | <del></del> -   |   |
| 10. ☐ Other:  |   |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |
|   | JASON CHAN  |   |   |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE  | R   |   |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly amended claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.